December 5-6, 2018

Norris Conference Centers -City Centre, Houston, Texas ShaleTechConference.com

High-Salinity Water Based Muds as Oil-Based Mud Alternatives for Optimized Shale Drilling

Dr. Eric van Oort

Lancaster Professor of Petroleum Engineering The University of Texas at Austin



The University of Texas at Austin Hildebrand Department of Petroleum and Geosystems Engineering Cockrell School of Engineering

Presentation Overview

- Background
 - Overcoming negatives of OBM/SBM
 - Borehole stability essentials
- Pressure Transmission
 - OBM/SBM vs. formate mud behavior
- Shale Stabilization & ROP Enhancement by Formates
- Field Experience Shale Drilling with Formates
- Conclusions & Acknowledgment





Overcoming Negatives of OBM/SBM

High ROP, reduced bit-balling Excellent shale inhibition Excellent wellbore stability / gauge hole Thermal stability High lubricity, lower torque Low fluid loss

Reduced differential sticking

High solids tolerance

Good coring / salt drilling fluid

Low corrosion

High cost (direct & waste)

Electrical / resistivity log difficulties

Oil emulsion blocks in gas sands, production impairment

Prone to severe ballooning & lost circulation

Poor cement bonds possible

Gas kick detection more difficult

Difficulty fingerprinting HC's

Messy work environment

Waste disposal logistics

Fumes / fire hazard

Disadvantages of OBM/SBM

SHALETECH

Shale Drilling

- Underbalanced drilling is possible in some shales
 - Determined entirely by shale strength in relation to in-situ rock stress / pore pressure
 - Preferred when possible, leading to high ROP
 - Beware of gas kicks in fractured / faulted zones
- Stuck pipe / casing often not because of shale instability
 - Due to difficult deviated/horizontal hole cleaning, poor wellbore quality/high tortuosity & stiff drilling assemblies
- Focus on shale instability when drilling overbalanced
 - OBM/SBM often not the best choice

Shale Borehole Stability

 Leading cause of shale borehole instability is inappropriate mud pressure, causing immediate wellbore cavings & failures

 Time-delayed shale borehole instability is caused by mud pressure transmission,

increasing pore pressure, reducing effective stresses



Instability through Mud Pressure



Instability Due to Mud Weight / Pressure

- Failure is immediate (immediate caving), irrespective of mud type
- Optimum mud weight can be determined using triaxial failure testing on core & modeling
- Optimum mud pressure needs to be managed in the field (managing annular pressure fluctuations, swab & surge etc.)



Mud Weight as a function of deviation and azimuth



Instability Due to Pressure Transmission



- Failure is not immediate, but delayed in time
- Cavings on shakers become progressively worse
- Few problems while drilling / with ECD on the well, but "tight hole" when static and while tripping (swabbing) / backreaming

Pressure transmission in low permeability shales



SHALETECH



Pressure Transmission Measurements



 Pressure pulse decay experiments of saturated shale samples exposed to different mud systems show significantly variation in invasion behavior, particularly when comparing WBM and OBM systems

SHALETECH[™]

PT test apparatus

OBM/SBM Shale Stabilization: Capillary Forces



OBM/SBMs give rise to capillary entry pressures when contacting water-wet shales that are intact, **but not when shales are oil-wet, (micro-)fractured or** <u>simply have large pore throats</u> SHALETECH[™]

OBM/SBM Behavior on Different Shales

Intact, Water-Wet Shale

Oil-Wet Shale, Micro-Fractured Shale, Shale with Large Pore Throats



(van Oort, SPE 189633, 2018)

SHALETECH

40,000

50.00

Delaying Fluid Invasion into Shales

1. Reduce shale permeability



 σ = 0 for (micro-) fractured shales and shales with large pore diameters

Introduction to Formate Brines & Muds



Sodium formate	H-C ² C)⁻ Na⁺)
Potassium format	te H-C ^{-C})- K+)
Cesium formate	H - C	
Component	Function	Concentration
Component Formate brine Shale Stability	Function Density Lubricity Polymer protection Biocide	Concentration
Component Formate brine Shale Stability Xanthan	Function Density Lubricity Polymer protection Biocide Viscosity Fluid loss control	Concentration 1 bbl 0.75 - 1 ppb
Component Formate brine Shale Stability Xanthan Ultralow vis PAC and modified starch	Function Density Lubricity Polymer protection Biocide Viscosity Fluid loss control Fluid loss control	Concentration 1 bbl 0.75 - 1 ppb 3 or 4 ppb of each
Component Formate brine Shale Stability Xanthan Ultralow vis PAC and modified starch Sized calcium carbonate	Function Density Lubricity Polymer protection Biocide Viscosity Fluid loss control Fluid loss control Filter cake agent	Concentration 1 bbl 0.75 - 1 ppb 3 or 4 ppb of each 20 ppb

Mechanism 2 – Formate Brine Viscosity



٨

Mechanism 3 – Water Activity Reduction

Water activity in single-salt formate brines at 77°F



Leaky Formate-Shale Membranes



OBM/HP-WBM Pressure Transmission

OBM





Faster Shale Drilling with Formates: Clean Fluids & Chip Hold-Down Effect



√time



(g) Ejection (g') (d) Post-fracture (g) Ejection (g') Clean, unweighted drilling fluids generally drill faster by having higher spurt loss, better pressure invasion in fractured / failed rock, causing better evacuation of this rock from the bit tool face

"Pseudoplastic"

(high pressure)

Chemical Osmotic Mechanism

Water

CESIUM FORMATE MUD

SHALE

 $P_{eff} = \Delta P - \sigma \Delta \Pi$



Faster Shale Drilling with Formates: Deeptrek Results 120 120 130 14 16ppg OBM 100 16ppg CsFo



Deeptrek (SPE 112731) misinterpreted the ROP results for CsFormate as being due to "clear fluid behavior": note that the ROP results for Cesium Formate (CsFo) with and without solids are very similar. Faster drilling in shale (and other formations?) -> lower formation exposure times

Faster Shale Drilling with Formates: Effect of Formates



(van Oort et al., SPE 173138, 2015)

Improved Hydraulics for Improved ROP

- Many shale drilling operations use hydraulically underpowered bits / too low HSI
 - Bit balling becomes a drilling / ROP limiter
- Formate mud use viscosifying polymers (XC) that can reduce hydraulic friction
 - Delay onset of turbulence
 - Reduce pump pressure and ECD
 - Improve bit hydraulics





SHALETF

Faster Shale Drilling with Formates: Canada Shale Drilling Performance - I



SHALETECH

Faster Shale Drilling with Formates: Canada Shale Drilling Performance - II



Faster Shale Drilling with Formates: Chevron-Encana Experience

- The success of the use of formate fluids on Canadian shale wells has been reported by Chevron - Encana (Siemens and Meyer, 2014).
- Noted various benefits of use of formate muds
 - Elevated, no-solids brine density,
 - Low corrosion tendency,
 - High lubricity,
 - Formation compatibility,
 - Etc.
- 30-40% avg. savings in drilling time
- 17-27% fluid cost savings
- 27% total drilling well cost savings

Halide brine corrosion damage W. Canadian examples









Conclusions & Recommendations

- Formate muds should be seriously considered as drilling fluids that can outperform OBM / SBM in land and offshore shale drilling operations
- Superior shale stabilization, particularly in oil-wet / microfractured / high porosity shale
- ROP improvement from formates originates from:
 - Clean, low-solids formulations (reduced chip hold-down)
 - Chemical osmotic effects
 - Secondary benefits:
 - Excellent lubricity, contact friction with steel and formation (for low-solids formulations)
 - Rheology, frictional pressure loss benefits that improve ECD
 - Improved waste management
 - Excellent electrical/resistivity logs



Acknowledgments

- Siv Howard Cabot Specialty Fluids
- RAPID Consortium @ UT Austin



SHALE